





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Haimerl et al.

Serial No.: 09/830,818

Filed

April 27, 2001

For:

Dear Sir:

Sealed Shoe and Process for Its

Production

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Group Art Unit: 3728

Examiner: M. Patterson

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on January 10, 2003

Beverly McLenna

January 10, 2003 (date of mailing document)

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

We enclose the following papers for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified Patent Application:

- Response to Office Action (1 page) 1.
- Petition for Extension of Time (1 page) 2.

THE COMMISSIONER IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED AND IS REQUESTED TO CHARGE ALL FILING FEES DUE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.16 AND ALL OTHER FEES DUE UNDER §1.17 DURING THE PENDENCY OF THIS APPLICATION TO OUR DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 07-1729.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin J. Bot

Kevin J. Boland, 36,090

W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

551 Paper Mill Road P.O. Box 9206

Newark, DE 19714-9206

(302) 738-4880

Date: <u>January 10, 2003</u>





Attorney Docket No.: FA/2064 Clecton

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Haimerl et al.

Serial No.: 09/830,818

Filed

April 27, 2001

For:

Sealed Shoe and Process for Its

Production

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231 Group Art Unit: 37281

Examiner: M. Patterson

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on January 10, 2003

Beverly McLennan

January 10, 2003 (date of mailing document)

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sirs:

In response to the Office Action mailed on November 20, 2002, applicants present the following remarks.

In the Office Action, claims 66-124 are subject to an election of species requirement. The Examiner has identified 17 separate species and has required applicants to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicants hereby elect to pursue species II (i.e., the shoe as shown in figure 7). Applicants submit that claims 90 and 93 are readable on the elected species.

Should the Office have any questions, the Office is invited to telephone applicants' undersigned representative.

RECEIVED

JAN 2 1 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin J. Boland, 36,090

W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

551 Paper Mill Road

P.O. Box 9206

Newark, DE 19714-9206